

A.I



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number:

**0 534 585 A2**

(12)

**EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**


(21) Application number: 92302963.1

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>5</sup>: **B23Q 1/02, B23Q 1/14**(22) Date of filing: **03.04.92**(33) Priority: **26.08.91 US 749582****Rockford, Illinois 61103-4199(US)**(43) Date of publication of application:  
**31.03.93 Bulletin 93/13**(72) Inventor: **Charles, Paul A. S.**  
**10 Willowvale Park, Islandmagee Larne**  
**County Antrim, Northern Ireland BT40**  
**3SE(GB)**(84) Designated Contracting States:  
**DE GB IT**(74) Representative: **Bayliss, Geoffrey Cyril et al**  
**BOULT, WADE & TENNANT 27 Furnival Street**  
**London EC4A 1PQ (GB)**

## (54) Octahedral machine tool frame.

(57) A machine tool frame is disclosed which is comprised of twelve struts (20) connected together at their ends in six nodes to define the eight triangular panels of an octahedron. Forces generated by the operation of the machine tool (32) are received by the frame at its nodes, being the nodes of opposed parallel triangular panels, upper and lower, in the specific examples illustrated. The octahedral frame displays superior rigidity due to its inherently closed kinematic loop, and to the virtual absence of bending

stress in its constituent members accomplished by nodal loading. Movable machine elements are mounted upon six extensible and retractable servotruts (34) emanating in pairs from the nodes (22) of a given triangular panel of the octahedral frame while opposing fixed elements (30) located within the octahedral frame are supported tripodally from the frame nodes associated with a single triangular panel of the frame.



EP 0 534 585 A2

best Available Copy

This invention relates to machine tools, and particularly to a machine tool frame having the rigidity necessary to permit machining to tolerances and surface finishes not heretofore attainable in machine tools in general use.

Dimensional tolerance and surface finish in machining are widely known to be related directly to the deflection of the cutting tool or the workpiece, or both, steady or vibratory, which in turn is a matter of the rigidity of the system in relation to the magnitude of the loads imposed thereon by the cutting action, whether the operation be drilling, milling, boring, turning, grinding, broaching, or combinations thereof, e.g., so-called "turn broaching". The time-honored shop practice of taking a rough cut to remove material and a finish cut, one or more, for size and surface finish is in part a reflection of the lack of rigidity of the machining system overall, "system" in this sense meaning not merely the cutting tool and the frame of the machine that welds the tool and supports the work, but also the workpiece itself.

The workpiece is necessarily a variable in a general machining situation, although less so in repetitive production machining operations, such, for example, as transfer lines and similarly automated production machining operations.

In either case, the approach heretofore generally taken to the realization of greater rigidity in the machine tool per se, whether for the sake of applying greater cutting forces, or achieving tighter machining tolerances or better finishes, has been toward more massive framing in the traditional format, whether of the freestanding column sort identified with so-called "machining centers" having horizontal spindles, the C-frames of smaller vertical spindle machines, or the column-spanning beams of so-called portal and gantry machines.

A noteworthy departure appeared in 1987 with the public announcement in Great Britain of a machine having a tetrahedral frame fitted out as a grinder said to be able to maintain nanometric tolerances. Photographs published in the London Times of 3 January 1988, as well as in 1987 literature of the National Physical Laboratory, show a tetrahedral frame of six stout struts each connected at its ends to a large ball at each of the four nodes of the frame, and each having a mid-length load-receiving connection to the work support or to the tool-spindle support. As the published prototype was constructed on a relatively small dimensional scale, the tubular struts incorporated vibration-damping and were tuned to a natural frequency well above the level of the forcing frequencies to be anticipated.

The tetrahedral frame is the subject of U.S. Patent No. 4,872,291 of October 10, 1989.

The practical limitations of the tetrahedral frame, due in large part to its lack of orthogonal symmetry about the tool-workpiece interface, to its poor efficiency of plant space utilization for the limited usable space within its confines, and to its lack of adequate facility for transferring forces from tool and work supports to the frame without flexural stress in the frame, have led to the present invention.

This invention utilizes the inherent rigidity of the triangular form as found in the octahedron.

A frame of twelve struts joined at six nodes in the classic octahedral form has many desirable characteristics which lend themselves to use as a machine tool frame. It has an inherent orthogonal symmetry which is not only complementary to the accustomed orthogonal axes of linear machine tool feeding motion, but, more important, exhibits uniform stiffness with respect to any orthogonal coordinate system, indeed in any direction, in response to applied forces passing through the centroid of the octahedral frame. Compared with its tetrahedral precursor, the octahedral frame, with its opposed triangular panels, permits the design of the support of the powered work unit and the worktable to transmit the equal and opposite work force and reaction, generated at the interface of workpiece and tool, to the frame at the nodes of opposed, parallel frame panels. The octahedral form thus eliminates any need for the mid-strut flexural loading inimical to rigidity, and at the same time provides more usable space within the frame for the amount of plant space occupied. And it is virtually self-compensating in response to changes in ambient temperature, an important consideration in maintaining the registry of the programmed and actual locations of the tool point in narrow-tolerance, numerically controlled machining.

In a further aspect of the invention, viz., the utilization within the octahedral context of hexapodal servostrut support for the tool, or the worktable, or both, to which the triangular panels of the octahedral frame are eminently suited, the inherently uniform stiffness of the octahedral frame is carried directly to the centroid of the frame where the cutting forces are preferably generated.

This invention is described by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIGURE 1 is a perspective diagram of a regular octahedron, included for orientation;

FIGURE 2 is a elevational view of the octahedral machine frame of the invention, seen in projection to a plane perpendicular to one of the planes of symmetry of the octahedron, having therein a hexapodally mounted spindle head with six degrees of freedom of motion above a fixed workpiece support;

FIGURE 3 is a view of the frame of FIGURE 2 projected to the plane projecting as the line 3-3 of FIGURE 2 with the operative elements of the machine tool omitted for clearer illustration of the octahedral frame;

FIGURE 4 is a diagrammatic representation of the machine frame of FIGURE 2 as projected to the plane represented by the line 4-4 of FIGURE 2, i.e., a diagrammatic top view of the frame and work support shown in FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 5 is a sectional view of one member of the machine of FIGURE 2 taken along the line 5-5 thereof;

FIGURE 6 is a foreshortened elevational view of a servostrut employed in the machine of FIGURE 2;

FIGURE 7 is a sectional view of the servostrut, taken on the line 7-7 of FIGURE 6;

FIGURE 8 is a cross-sectional view of the foreshortened servostrut of FIGURES 6 and 7;

FIGURE 9 is a diagram illustrating the instantaneous vector of the cutting force and its reaction in the machine setup of FIGURE 2 and its resolution at the planes of the spindle support platform and worktable into components directed, respectively, toward the upper and lower nodes of the octahedral frame;

FIGURE 10 is a view similar to FIGURE 8 illustrating the hyperboloidal locus of the cutting force vector and the elliptically conical loci of the resolved components thereof directed toward the nodes of the octahedral frame, illustrating how the configuration of the suspension and support members of a fixed spindle unit and/or worktable may be derived for maximum resistance to flexure;

FIGURE 11 is a view similar to FIGURE 9 with the octahedral frame rotated about a line through the centroids of the upper and lower triangular faces thereof, better to illustrate the resolution of the cutting force vector components to their respective nodes of the frame; and FIGURE 12 is an elevational view of the octahedral machine frame, similar to FIGURE 2, but with the nature of the respective supports of spindle unit and worktable reversed.

As illustrated in FIGURES 2 and 3, the machine frame of the invention comprises an array of twelve stiff struts 20 of equal length joined together in the form of a regular octahedron by six nodal connecting members 22. The struts 20 may be solid cylinders but are preferably fabricated of thick-walled tubing, for purposes which will later appear, and are rigidly connected to their respective nodal connecting members 22 by welding, or by screws, bolts, or tie rods. The nodal connecting members 22 are designed as thick blocks which truncate the octahedron slightly at its apices to

facilitate the mounting of the active machine tool elements. As illustrated, they are provided with facetal surfaces perpendicular to the axes of the frame struts 20 but could take a variety of shapes, the principal functional requirement upon the connecting members of the frame being that they be sufficiently rigid to minimize the conversion of the loads applied to them by the active machine elements into bending moments exerted on the ends of the connected struts.

The kind of machine tool chosen for illustration in FIGURE 2 has a movable milling, drilling, or boring spindle head 26, the spindle axis of which, for convenience of description, may be thought of as oriented vertically on the mutual perpendicular to the centroids of the triangular top and bottom panels of the octahedral frame, poised above a fixed worktable 28 supported by three legs 30 radiating from the worktable to the connecting members 22 at the nodes of the lower triangular panel of the frame.

As shown in FIGURE 2, the spindle is fitted with a face milling cutter 32, which could, of course, be replaced with a milling cutter of any kind, or a boring tool, or a drill, or an electronic inspection probe. Equally, the spindle unit itself might be that of a grinder, or could be replaced by a composite tape or tow laying head, or by any electric, electronic, gas, laser, or fluid work unit requiring complex spatial paths.

In accordance with a further aspect of the invention, the spindle head 26 is movably supported hexapodally by six individually adjustable and collectively controllable extensible and retractable servostruts 34, of which two are mounted in each of the three upper nodal blocks 22 in ball joints 36 which give the distal end of each strut a spherical sweep within a limited range. The distal ends of the struts 34 are likewise connected to the spindle head 26 in ball joint connections 38, spaced in hexagonal array about the sides of the spindle head. The spindle head may itself therefore conveniently be hexagonal in plan, as shown in FIGURE 4, or may be cylindrical, or may take any other reasonable shape, to receive the ball ends of the six supporting struts respectively in individual ball sockets hexagonally arrayed in the side surface or surfaces thereof.

As is well-known, the six extensible and retractable struts 34, acting coordinately under appropriate common control, provide six-axis movement and universal positioning of the spindle head relative to the workpiece, within the limits of movement of the individual servostruts, i.e., linear movement along, and rotation about, the three familiar orthogonal axes of machine tool practice, only one of those movements, namely, linear movement on the vertical or Z-axis 40 of the machine, being illus-

trated in FIGURE 2.

The specified hexagonal array of the distal-end universal joints 38 is preferred to the essentially triangular array employed in some hexapodally supported platforms of the prior art because of the greater stability it lends to the platform in all attitudes, and because of its much reduced susceptibility to assume positions from which no recovery is possible. The latter condition is a shortcoming of the triangular array. Specifically, if any two of the six legs which are paired at one of the triangularly arrayed connections of the legs to the platform are permitted to assume a position coplanar therewith, no manipulation of any of the legs can produce a restorative moment to assure that the toggle thus formed will pivot from center in the restoring, i.e., returning, direction.

With the hexagonal array, in contrast, a restorative moment is always exertable on the controlled body to permit a consequently greater degree of controlled, stable positioning. The hexagonally arrayed form would, equally with the triangular, be unstable in the exceptional case in which all six legs were coplanar, but such an attitude is readily avoided with appropriate limits either of servostrut construction or programmed movement. Indeed, it is quite possible, in the hexagonally arrayed form, to move the platform through the common plane of the base joints of the servostruts, if that should be desired, say, for additional clearance space within the octahedral frame for loading and unloading workpieces, by programming the movement to pass the platform through that plane only and always in a tilted condition, i.e., so that the plane of the hexagonally arrayed joints 38 never coincides with the common plane of the base joints of the servostruts.

The servostruts 34 are mounted side by side in the three nodal blocks 22 of the upper panel of the frame as seen in FIGURE 2, from which they extend downwardly in pairs to the spindle head 26, which, like the nodal blocks of the frame, is constructed so as to be extremely resistant to bending, and, like the machine frame itself, is positioned extremely rigidly in any attitude or position by the triangular arrays of the six servostruts 34.

The servostruts themselves, as shown in FIGURES 6, 7 and 8, are essentially two telescopically and nonrotationally interfitted thick-walled tubes 42 and 44, the outer of which, 42, is the base member set concentrically within a ball 46 on which it is swingable with an included angle of the order of 60 degrees when mounted in the mating spherical socket of the ball joint 36 in the upper apical blocks of the machine frame. An axial screw 48 journaled in multiple thrust bearings 50 for rotation in the outer tube is driven by a servomotor 52 coupled to the screw at the right-hand end thereof as seen in

FIGURE 8. The screw 48 is engaged by a nut 54 keyed and secured within the inner, extensible one, 44, of the two telescoped tubes which constitute the strut. The thrust bearings 50 which support the power screw are heavy duty bearings which are designedly centered within the ball 46 to transfer load from the screw to the ball, and thus to the machine frame, with a minimum of deflection, and the screw itself and its mating nut are preferably of the planetary roller type.

Although the motive power of the servostruts 34, as presently contemplated, is provided by a motor driven screw-and-nut assembly, other forms of electro-mechanical drive, or a hydraulic cylinder drive, amenable to control with comparable accuracy, may be employed.

The inner tube 44 is secured against rotation within the outer tube 42 by the arrangement shown best in FIGURE 7. A keel 60, defined between two flats milled in the outer surface of the inner tube 44, is engaged on both sides by a pair of stout guide bars 62 of bronze, which extend into the interior of the outer tube 42, through the slide bushing 64 at the mouth of the outer tube, being secured to the outer tube by machine screws seated in tapped, near tangential holes in the wall of the outer tube between the guide bars.

A cap 66 secured to the outer end of the extensible and retractable inner tube 44 carries the smaller ball 68 received in the appropriate ball socket 38 of the spindle unit 26. The actual extension of the inner tube from the outer tube, over a range which may be of the order of 30 inches, for example, is accurately measured for feedback control purposes by diametrically opposed linear encoders 70 and 72 for position feedback to the power amplifier for the servomotor of each strut. Each of the encoders 70, 72 comprises a scale 74 secured to the outer surface of the outer tube 42 along a flat milled thereon to provide a stable seat, and a reader 76 mounted on a bracket 78 which is mounted in turn upon a carriage 80 movable axially of the strut upon a linear bearing 82 likewise seated on a flat milled in the outer surface of the outer tube 42. A rod 84 anchored at one end in the end cap 66 and adjustably at its other end in the bracket 78, moves the reader 76 along the scale 74 to provide a digital indication of the degree of extension of the inner tube from the outer. Duplicate opposed sensors are employed to render averageable readings to compensate for any bending of the strut due to transverse gravitational and inertial forces. Velocity feedback is provided by a conventional tachometer built into the servomotor, and not separately shown. It will be understood that the complication of six-axis movement is preferably directed with presently available machine tool control systems by computer numerical control.

While the double linear measurement of servostrut extension at the site of movement is preferred for accuracy, it is recognized that the use of a single linear sensor to record extension may be acceptable where the degree of accuracy required by the application is less stringent. Where accuracy to a critical degree is not required, a rotary encoder at the motor may suffice but is not preferred because it cannot detect the compliance of the strut under axial load.

As earlier noted, the three supporting legs 30 of the fixed worktable 28 are preferably shaped as elliptical cones which are sized and configured by the procedure outlined in the diagrams of FIGURES 9 to 11, inclusive, so as to contain within the material constituting each individual leg of the worktable the resolved component of the cutting force reaction associated with its respective leg. The manner in which that configuration is derived, i.e., the elliptically conical configuration indicated by FIGURES 2 and 5, will be appreciated by giving attention first to FIGURE 9.

In any rotary cutting tool, the cutting force and its reaction 90 are directed along a line which passes through the active cutting edge of the tool at a distance from the axis of rotation of the tool and is inclined with respect to a plane perpendicular to the rotational axis at an angle dependent upon cutting speed, feed, depth of cut, cutting edge geometry, workpiece material, etc. As the tool rotates, so also does the force vector 90, which therefore describes a hyperboloid of revolution 92 about the spindle axis 40 (FIGURE 10). As the cutting force generated in the workpiece at the cutting plane 94 is transmitted to the worktable 28 at its surface, the cutting force 90 is resolvable at the plane 96 of that surface into three component force vectors 98, 100, and 102, each instantaneously directed to its associated node of the lower panel of the octahedral frame. The traces of the three separate component vectors from the circular intercept of the rotating cutting force vector with the surface 96 to the three lower nodes of the octahedral frame take the form of three elliptical cones 98', 100', and 102' (FIGURES 10 and 11).

Inasmuch as it is desirable that these component forces be directed through the material of the support legs to avoid subjecting that member to bending stresses, it becomes desirable to form the three support legs 30 in an elliptically conical configuration in order to sustain those forces with an absence of, or at least a minimum of, flexural stress. Moreover, inasmuch as the inclination of the cutting force vector will vary with variation of its determining factors earlier mentioned, the elliptically conical support legs 30 are desirably formed and dimensioned as the inclusive envelope of all reaction force components 98', 100', and 102' for all

5 sizes of circular intercept of all anticipated hyperboloids of revolution at the surface of the worktable. Such all-inclusive support legs may well be larger and thicker of wall, and therefore necessarily stiffer, than if designed for a single specific application.

The outer ends of the support legs 30 of the worktable 28 may be firmly secured in the lower nodal blocks of FIGURE 2 in any convenient way 10 but have been shown as secured to a ball 74 received in a mating socket in the nodal block, as a precaution against transmission of bending moments from the worktable 28 to the machine frame.

The reverse arrangement of spindle head and worktable is shown in FIGURE 12, wherein the spindle head 26' is fixedly supported within the octahedral frame by three arms 30', and the worktable 28' is movably mounted on the six servostruts 34'. The design of the support arms 30' preferably 20 follows the criteria outlined for the design of the support legs 30 of the fixed worktable 28 of FIGURE 2, i.e., following the force resolution diagrams of FIGURES 9 to 11, inclusive, to result in elliptically conical support arms 30' for the spindle unit 26'. As the cutting force reaction generated in the tool at the cutting plane 94 is transmitted to the spindle unit in large part at its lower surface, the ultimate configuration of each support arm is derived from the circular intercepts of all anticipated cutting force reaction vectors with the plane 104 of the lower surface of the fixed spindle unit 26'.

In the illustration of the principle of octahedral machine tool framing by reference to merely two particular kinds of machine tool, the active parts of 35 which are disposed within the octahedral frame, it was convenient to view the frame as though positioned upon one of its triangular facets as a horizontal base panel, however supported. The permissible orientation of the octahedral frame, however, is essentially unlimited because of its uniform stiffness with respect to any set of orthogonal axes. Instead of positioning the frame as shown in FIGURES 2 and 12, i.e., with the mutual perpendicular to the centroids of opposed panels coinciding with 40 the gravity vector, the frame could be positioned as indicated by FIGURE 1, viz., with the gravity vector aligned with any interior diagonal, or, indeed, with the frame positioned in any other orientation suited to the builder's purpose.

50 Similarly, while the operative parts of the machine tool are illustrated with a single spindle, with either the spindle or the worktable nominally horizontal but movable with six degrees of freedom, multiple spindle units may be employed, hexapodally supported from the "side" panels of a frame oriented as shown in FIGURE 2, to address from several sides a workpiece on a worktable centrally disposed in any orientation within the

frame. Indeed, there appears to be little reason in principle why such a hexapodally mounted work unit, whether spindle unit or otherwise, should not be mounted on the exterior of an octahedral frame. The possibilities of taking advantage of the inherent stiffness of the octahedral frame in machine tool construction, while not limitless, nevertheless afford the machine tool designer broad options for machine configuration.

In the foregoing Summary of the Invention, reference was made to the uniform stiffness of the octahedral frame with respect to forces directed through its centroid. That ideal situation will not obtain, except perhaps in drilling, but the variation from uniformity of stiffness with respect to actual cutting forces not centroidally oriented is relatively slight due to the fact that the stiffness of the frame overall, in any direction, is the sum of the stiffness of its members resisting the applied load.

The same observation is apt to the hexapodal servostrut support of the machine elements, whether worktable or spindle unit. If the machine element be centered, i.e., with all struts at uniform length, its stiffness on all coordinate axes will be identical, and assuming equal stiffness in the support of the mating machine element, a perfect cylinder could theoretically be bored because the radial stiffness would be constant.

Away from center, i.e., with the servostruts adjusted to nonuniform length, the loss of uniformity is slight because although strut stiffness varies inversely with length, the total stiffness of the hexapodal mount in any direction is the summation of the stiffnesses of the individual struts, some of which will typically be shortened as others are lengthened in the welding of the machine element.

The foregoing discussion of octahedral machine tool framing has proceeded on the basis of the regular octahedral form, i.e., with all struts of uniform length and construction. While such is preferable for symmetrical stiffness on and about all orthogonal axes, there may be instances where symmetry of stiffness can be sacrificed to advantages possibly to be gained from purposeful deviation from symmetry of form, and the octahedron varied from the regular to an irregular format. In any such case, however, the principles of avoidance of flexural stress in the individual constituent members of the assembly, and in the transference of load between them, will nevertheless desirably be observed.

The self-compensating nature of a regular octahedral frame with changes of ambient temperature assumes a uniform ambience, which may not always be the case. To that end, the invention contemplates a refinement that makes desirable the use of thick-walled tubing for the frame struts 20. Apart from the desirable economic and uniform

distribution of material about the neutral axis of the tubular form, the hollow interior makes possible provision for the circulation through the frame struts of a temperature-controlling fluid from a heat exchanger (not shown) to equalize the temperature of all struts and thus to avoid the creation of bending stresses therein due to unequal expansion or contraction. For that purpose, as indicated with respect to one strut in FIGURE 3, an ingress port 106 may be provided at mid-length of each strut, with egress ports 108 at opposite ends. The fluid used is preferably such so as to serve a vibration-damping function as well.

It will be observed that no foundations, such as typically are used in large-frame machine tools to close the kinematic loop, are shown in the drawings. That is because the kinematic loop is closed within the octahedral frame itself which, in the FIGURE 2 and 12 orientation, may simply rest upon the machine floor, in which some suitable provision may be made to receive the lower connecting members 22 in vibration-proof mountings to isolate the frame from exterior forces and to immobilize the frame.

In different orientations of the frame in which it would not otherwise be stable with respect to gravitational or other external forces anticipated, it may be necessary to support the frame with a pier or pedestal at one or more of its frame nodes, i.e., connecting members 22, to stabilize the frame.

While foundations as such are not essential, nor in fact beneficial from the standpoint of the different behavioral characteristics of the dissimilar material employed, e.g., concrete, it is conceivable that for some purposes a concrete or other monolithic foundation suitably stiff, might be employed in whole or partial substitution for one or more of the lower panels of the octahedron, however oriented. In such circumstances, it would however be necessary to accept the effects of bending stresses resulting from differential response to temperature changes and the differential compliance of the kinematic loop or loops to the work loads encountered.

The features of the invention believed new and patentable are set forth in the appended claims.

### Claims

50. 1. A machine tool frame comprising a plurality of struts of predetermined length connected together at their ends with each constituting the common leg of two of at least six successively contiguous triangles formed by said struts.
55. 2. The machine tool frame of Claim 1 wherein the struts are twelve in number and said successively contiguous triangles are six of the eight

- triangles of an octahedron.
3. A machine tool having a frame comprising twelve struts connected together at their ends in the form of an octahedron,  
 5        a work unit mounted on said frame by one set of supporting struts extending from said work unit to the corners of one of the triangular panels of the octahedron, and  
 10      a worktable mounted on said frame by a second set of supporting struts extending from said worktable to the corners of another one of the triangular panels of the octahedron,  
 15      said worktable being addressable by the work unit for the performance of its work function upon a workpiece mounted on said worktable.
4. The subject matter of Claim 3 wherein the work unit and the worktable are supported from the corners of opposed triangular panels of the octahedron.
5. The subject matter of Claim 4 wherein said opposed triangular panels of the octahedron are parallel and have a mutual perpendicular to their centroids, and the work unit is a spindle head having its spindle axis on said perpendicular, said spindle unit and worktable being disposed within the octahedron with their supporting struts configured to transmit their respective components of the cutting forces to the octahedral frame with an absence of bending stresses within said supporting struts.
6. An axially extensible and contractible servostrut comprising,  
 35      an elongated outer tubular member and a slidably interfitted, elongated inner member,  
 40      a first universal joint on one of said members for mounting said servostrut for swinging movement in any direction about said joint, and defining the base end of the strut,  
 45      a second universal joint on the other of said members at the distal end of the strut for connecting the same to a movable body for pivotal movement on any axis relative thereto,  
 50      a power driven linear actuator in one of said members engaged with the other to extend and contract the strut, and  
 55      a linear position sensor on one of said members directly responsive to the axial movement of said members relative to one another for generating a feedback signal to the power source representative of the degree of extension of the strut.
7. The servostrut of Claim 6 wherein two such linear sensors are mounted on opposite sides of said servostrut so as to generate differentiable signals which are averageable for a true measure of strut extension in the event the strut should bend.
8. The servostrut of Claim 6 or Claim 7 wherein the inner member is also tubular, the power driven linear actuator is a screw journaled in said outer member engaged with a nut in the inner member and said members are non-rotationally interfitted,  
 75      said first universal joint is a ball joint,  
 the screw is driven by an electric servomotor mounted on said outer member,  
 10      said servomotor extends from said ball joint in the direction opposite the distal end of the strut, and the screw is journaled in thrust bearings within the ball of said ball joint, and  
 15      the position sensor is a linear scale mounted axially of said outer member and a scale reader movable therewith, said scale reader being rigidly connected to said inner member and movable therewith to provide a direct linear measurement of said degree of strut extension.
9. The servostrut of Claims 6, 7 or 8 wherein both strut members are non-rotationally interfitted thick-walled tubes, said first universal joint is on the outer tube and comprises a ball receiving said outer tube concentrically therein, said ball being adapted for seating in a spherical socket, said second universal joint comprises a ball mounted on an end of the inner tube and adapted for receipt in a spherical seat in a supported body, the linear actuator is a screw concentric with said tubes and engaged with a nut secured in said inner tube adjacent the inner end thereof, the screw is journaled in thrust bearings in said outer tube centered within said first-mentioned ball, the screw is driven reversibly by a servomotor mounted on said outer tube, and the position sensor comprises cooperating fixed and movable members mounted on said outer tube with the movable member fixedly connected to said inner tube at its outer end so as to move linearly with said inner tube and relative to said fixed sensor member to provide a direct linear measure of the degree of extension of said inner tube from the outer.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7









Fig. 8





*Fig. 12*





Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number:

0 534 585 A3

(12)

## EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number: 92302963.1

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>5</sup>: B23Q 1/02, B23Q 1/14

(22) Date of filing: 03.04.92

(30) Priority: 26.08.91 US 749582

(43) Date of publication of application:  
31.03.93 Bulletin 93/13

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
DE GB IT

(88) Date of deferred publication of the search report:  
07.07.93 Bulletin 93/27

(71) Applicant: THE INGERSOLL MILLING MACHINE COMPANY  
707 Fulton Avenue  
Rockford, Illinois 61103-4199(US)

(72) Inventor: Charles, Paul A. S.  
10 Willowvale Park, Islandmagee Larne  
County Antrim, Northern Ireland BT40  
3SE(GB)

(74) Representative: Bayliss, Geoffrey Cyril et al  
BOULT, WADE & TENNANT 27 Furnival Street  
London EC4A 1PQ (GB)

(54) Octahedral machine tool frame.

(57) A machine tool frame is disclosed which is comprised of twelve struts (20) connected together at their ends in six nodes to define the eight triangular panels of an octahedron. Forces generated by the operation of the machine tool (32) are received by the frame at its nodes, being the nodes of opposed parallel triangular panels, upper and lower, in the specific examples illustrated. The octahedral frame displays superior rigidity due to its inherently closed kinematic loop, and to the virtual absence of bending stress in its constituent members accomplished by nodal loading. Movable machine elements are mounted upon six extensible and retractable servostruts (34) emanating in pairs from the nodes (22) of a given triangular panel of the octahedral frame while opposing fixed elements (30) located within the octahedral frame are supported tripodally from the frame nodes associated with a single triangular panel of the frame.




Fig. 2

EP 0 534 585 A3



European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 92 30 2963

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.5)
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	
X	WO-A-8 909 677 (L.K.TOOOL CO.) * page 4, paragraph 2; figure 2 * ---	1,2	B 23 Q 1/02 B 23 Q 1/14
X	US-A-4 426 821 (MOORE) * column 3, line 16 - column 3, line 20; figure 5 * ---	1,2	
A	SU-A-1 380 915 (DIAMOND) * figures 1-4 * ---	1-3	
P,A	EP-A-0 476 279 (JOBS) * claim 2 * -----	1-3	
TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.5)			
B 23 Q			
<p><i>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims.</i></p>			
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	
THE HAGUE	01-12-1992	DE GUSSEM J L	
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons ..... & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document			



European Patent  
Office

### CLAIMS INCURRING FEES

The present European patent application comprised at the time of filing more than ten claims.

- All claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for all claims.
- Only part of the claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for the first ten claims and for those claims for which claims fees have been paid.  
namely claims:
- No claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for the first ten claims.

### LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

The Search Division considers that the present European patent application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention and relates to several inventions or groups of inventions.

namely:

see sheet -B-

- All further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for all claims.
- Only part of the further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the inventions in respect of which search fees have been paid.  
namely claims:
- None of the further search fees has been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the invention first mentioned in the claims.

namely claims:

1-5



European Patent  
Office

EP 92 30 2963 -B-

**LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION**

The Search Division considers that the present European patent application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention and relates to several inventions or groups of inventions, namely:

1. Claims 1-5: Machine-tool frame
2. Claims 6-9: Servostrut

-----  
Claims 1-5: The lack of rigidity of a machine frame structure is solved by constructing the frame from a plurality of struts and connecting them in the form of a polyhedron (Claims searched)

Claims 6-9: The problem to move one object relative to another with six degrees of freedom, is solved by a servostrut having two universal joints and one intermediate linear actuator (Claims not searched).

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**